



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/680,723	10/07/2003	Michael S. South	PHA 4159.33	2648
321	7590 12/03/2	004	EXAM	INER
	R POWERS LEAV	BALASUBRAMANIA	N, VENKATARAMAN	
16TH FLOC	OPOLITAN SQUAI R	.E.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ST LOUIS, MO 63102			1624	

DATE MAILED: 12/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		,				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/680,723	SOUTH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Venkataraman Balasubramanian	1624				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
,	· · ·					
,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-11,17-19,25-27,33-49 and 51-107 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11,17-19,25-27,33-49 and 51-107 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and a applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	accepted or b) objected to by the E he drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See rection is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/5/2004.	4) ☐ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Page 1 6) ☐ Other:					

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group II, claims 1-11, 17-19, 25-27, 33-49 and 51-107 in the reply filed on 9/9/2004 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)). Claims 1-11, 17-19, 25-27, 33-49 and 51-107 will be examined to the extent they embrace the elected subject matter. Applicants' election of compound 77 is also acknowledged.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claims 1-11, 17-19, 25-27, 33-49 and 51-107 are pending.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/680,723

Art Unit: 1624

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-11, 17-19, 25-27, 33-49 and 51-107 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-25 of U.S. Patent No. 6,653,316. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because when M= R¹-C, the same genus of compound, its composition and method of use claimed in the instant claims are also claimed in the claims 1-25 of US 6,653,316.

Claims 1-11, 17-19, 25-27, 33-49 and 51-107 rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 6,750,342. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because when M= R¹-C, the same genus of compound, its composition and method of use claimed in the instant claims are also claimed in the claims 1-25 of US 6,750,342.

Claims 1-11, 17-19, 25-27, 33-37 and 54-107 provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-37 of copending Application No. 10/276,171. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because when M= R¹-C, the genus of compound embraced in the instant claims of overlap are with those also claimed in the claims 1-37 of copending application 10/276,171.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Application/Control Number: 10/680,723

Art Unit: 1624

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication from the examiner should be

Page 4

addressed to Venkataraman Balasubramanian (Bala) whose telephone number is (571)

272-0662. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from

8.00 AM to 6.00 PM. The Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) of the art unit 1624 is

Mukund Shah whose telephone number is (571) 272-0674. If Applicants are unable to

reach Mukund Shah within 24-hour period, they may contact James O. Wilson, Acting-

SPE of art unit 1624 at 571-272-0661.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of

this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone

number is (571) 272-1600.

v Eutataraman Balasubramanian

onitataraman balababiamar

11/29/2004